

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/977,053	10/12/2001	Carl Johan Friddle	LEX-0256-USA	1810	
24231 75	590 07/29/2003				
	ENETICS INCORPO	EXAMINER			
8800 TECHNOLOGY FOREST PLACE THE WOODLANDS, TX 77381-1160			TURNER, SHARON L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2.0		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/977,053	FRIDDLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sharon L. Turner	1647				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If the period for reply specified above is I - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extended - Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 that is Status	er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period will be period for reply will, by statute, on three months after the mailing of	(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th I apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this o	ily. communication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuary 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are al	lowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are re	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are of	ojected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject	to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is object							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	lo(s) 'TO-152)			
1.06							

Application/Control Number: 09/977,053

Art Unit: 1647

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Improper Markush

- 2. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is pointed out that applicants have presented instant claims in improper Markush format, see Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, In re Weber, 198 USPQ 334 and MPEP 803.02 and 806.04. The claims are improperly set forth as the genus claims encompassing multiple products, as identified and claimed, fail to share the characteristics of a genus, i.e., a common utility and a substantial structural feature essential to the disclosed utility. Alternatively, the claims define multiple structurally distinct compounds capable of different use, with different modes of operation, different function and different effects. A reference against one of the claimed components or methods would not be a reference against the other. Therefore, the restriction will be set forth for each of the various groups, irrespective of the improper format of the claims, because the claims define inventions which are not proper species.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-2 in part, drawn respectively to the extent of nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO:4 (including SEQ ID NO:3), classified for example in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 1 and 3 in part, drawn respectively to the extent of nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO:2 (including SEQ ID NO:1), classified for example in class 536, subclass 23.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,053 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

III. Claims 1 and 4 in part, drawn respectively to the extent of nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO:6 (including SEQ ID NO:5), classified for example in class 536, subclass

23.1.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 5. Inventions I-III are related as products. The products are distinct each from the other as the products are comprised of divergent structure and exhibit different effects and function. For example the nucleic acids differ in each of the SEQ ID NO's. The products indicated as I-III constitute patentably distinct inventions. Each of the polynucleotides and polypeptides encoded thereby has a unique structural feature which requires a unique search of the prior art. The inventions indicated as I-III differ in structure and function as they are composed of divergent nucleic and amino acids and are differentially able to hybridize, bind or mediate biological functions. A reference to one element would not constitute a reference to another. In addition, searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would bear an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because the indicated searches are not co-extensive.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/977,053

Art Unit: 1647

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

July 21, 2003